## COVID-19 INFECTION CONTROL AND PREVENTION IN OPHTHALMOLOGY OFFICES PRE- APPROVED TEMPLATE

**Title:** COVID-19 Impact Mitigation at Rockwood Eye Center **Author:** <u>Robert Glazier, MD</u>

Project	Transmission of respiratory viral illnesses is very likely to be occurring frequently
Description	with baseline conditions standard precautions taken in our current practice
	environment.
	This project is undertaken with the aim of preventing exposure of our patients,
	staff, and providers to SARS-CoV-2 while still delivering ophthalmic care to
	patients with vision threatening conditions.
Background	Early in the COVID-19 pandemic course we recognized that our patient
Information	population is particularly vulnerable to being severely impacted by COVID-
	19. The reason for this enhanced risk is related to the advanced age and
	highly prevalent cardiovascular and pulmonary comorbidities exhibited by
	many of our patients which have been identified as risk factors in critical
	illness and death in COVID-19. Furthermore, our waiting rooms are very
	crowded at times and the nature of the clinical practice of ophthalmology
	presents many challenges to proper social distancing practices.
	Annually our clinic performs approximately 3750 encounters across five clinical
	sites and nine providers. We provide routine medical and surgical
	ophthalmic/optometric care as well as urgent and emergent referral care for
	four hospital emergency rooms and seven urgent care locations in the
	community
	The aim of this project is to continue to provide the emergent and urgent
	level care to our nonulation while minimizing the risk of SARS-CoV-2
	exposure and transmission among nations and staff
	exposure and transmission among patients and stan.
Project Setting	Multi-Specialty Group
, ,	Surgical Center
Study Population	A comprehensive evaluation of our clinic processes was undertaken, and areas
	identified requiring intervention were as follows:
	Patient visit urgency triage and strategic scheduling
	Reorganization of waiting room space to allow for social distancing
	Screening of patients entering the clinical spaces
	Elimination of non-essential fomites
	Patient isolation of suspected and conformed COVID-19 positive cases
	Hand hygiene and personal protection use. enhancement. and conservation
	Enhancement and creation of disinfection schedules of site

Quality Measures	Our baseline practice has been to screen patients for mild URI symptoms at
	our front desks during flu season and to provide/require a mask be worn by
	any patient reporting symptom. No temperature screening nor a detailed
	travel history were previously routinely performed. In times of normal
	operation, our waiting rooms were arranged to maximize seating and
	capacity and comfort with available shared reading materials and
	refreshments. These spaces have been cleaned daily without a focus on
	scheduled and documented disinfection. Patient have previously been
	allowed to have as many accompanying escorts as was desired reasonable
	without formal restriction. At normal volumes frequently as many as four
	providers share a single waiting room and crowding becomes an issue. Our
	baseline policy is for afebrile staff with mild URI symptoms to wear a mask
	while at work. Some slit-lamps in our offices are equipped with a small
	(4.5"x5.5") acrylic shield in a minority of the clinical lanes.
Project	Initial interventions began in late January 2020 with travel screening at the
Interventions and	time of patient intake to determine if patients had traveled to Wuhan China
Improvement	or been in contact with any known COVID-19 patients, and exhibited fever,
renou	cough, or runny nose. Any patients with a positive possible exposure history
	were to be masked and placed in a dedicated isolation room in the least
	utilized portion of the facility at each site before being assessed by the
	provider utilizing Airborne Respirator Contact Precautions defined by the
	CDC. The list of screening travel countries was expanded to Italy, Iran, all of
	china, Hong Kong, Japan, and South Korea in late February.
	On March 16th. "Stop Signs" were placed at all the clinic building entrances
	which instructed patients and visitors not to enter the building if fever or
	upper respiratory infection symptoms were present. A telephone number
	was provider to have the patient call to reschedule and a referral was
	provided to a respiratory clinic triage nurse. Patient escorts were restricted
	to one person at this time.
	Beginning March 17th ambulatory elective surgical procedures were halted,
	and a decision was made to limit clinical visits to only urgent or emergent
	encounters starting on March 23rd. The nursing staff from our ASC was
	redeployed to perform patient screening functions.
	A screening nurse was stationed at the building entrances to do in-person
	travel and URI symptoms screen as well as a temperature measurement on
	each patient entering the building. All staff were formally screened for
	symptoms and the absence of a fever was documented in order to begin in-
	office work each day.
	30-40% of waiting room chairs were eliminated and spaced out several feet
	to allow for social distancing. Magazines and complimentary beverages/cups
	were eliminated.

	Intake pens, clipboards, and signature pads used by patients were disinfected after each use. Timers were set in the office at 30-minute interval and all common surfaces in the waiting room and shared clinical space are being wiped down with disinfectant. The larger building undergoes a wipe- down disinfection of surfaces such as elevator buttons, railings, and touch pads every hour.
	Staff were strategically furloughed to minimize office presence. Clinical lanes are completely disinfected between every patient encounter per usual protocol. A larger 11"x17" transparent shield was fashioned and placed on all slit lamps.
	In the event that an emergent laser peripheral iridotomy or in-office retinopexy needed to be performed on a COVID-19 positive patient, plans were made for isolation and decontamination of the procedure room and as well as to ensure the necessary PPE is in place.
Project Team	Department Section Head (myself) Initiation of clinical volume curtailing measures. Organization of urgent and emergent case coverage with strategic provider clinical schedule assignments to minimize waiting room volumes. Procurement of needs and materials as well as design, construction, and installation of enhanced slit lamp shield barriers.
	Practice Manager Staff scheduling and implementing strategic furloughs. Reorganization of waiting room seating and elimination of fomites. Tracking and documenting in office disinfection schedule as well as employee temperature and URI symptoms attestation. Procurement of necessary PPE to care for patients including possible COVID-19 positive patients. Interfacing with larger clinic building initiative to make staff available for hourly disinfection schedule.
	ASC Manager Managing redeployment of nursing staff to main clinical site and overseeing patient entrance screening.

## COVID-19 Infection and Prevention in Ophthalmology Offices Section 2. Project Evaluation

PROJECT	Review the effect and adjustment of implementing the policy changes after a
SUMMARY	minimum of 30-days and in the following sections, please prepare a brief
	summary of the project highlighting the data collected, effectiveness of the
	measurement approach, interventions and the overall impact of the project.
BASELINE DATA	Provider Staffing Level Daily Average Percentage (Week 0): Numerator: 6.6
	providers/day average, (range 5-7 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers
	for an Average rate: 73.3% provider presence
	Support Staffing Level Daily Average Percentage (Week 0): Numerator: 23.3
	staff/day average, (range 20-26 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff
	Average rate: 77.7% staff presence
	Daily Patient Encounters (week 0): Numerator: 92.4 patients/day average
	Denominator: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters Average rate:
	50.4% of normal encounter volume*
	*(provider vacations and a departure account for this depressed value)
	Employees Calling in Sick Daily Average (week 0) Numerator: 2.4 employees
	calling in sick/day (range 2-4)
	Denominator: 39 employees
	Average rate: 6.2% of employees out sick daily baseline
FOLLOW-UP	Provider Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4):
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4):
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff)
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients (day average (range 12.24)
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 182.3 encounters, week 0 daily
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters Volumes: 15.2% of normal encounter volume 30.3 Percent of week 0 encounter
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters Volumes: 15.2% of normal encounter volume, 30.3 Percent of week 0 encounter volume
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters Volumes: 15.2% of normal encounter volume, 30.3 Percent of week 0 encounter volume
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters Volumes: 15.2% of normal encounter volume, 30.3 Percent of week 0 encounter volume
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters Volumes: 15.2% of normal encounter volume, 30.3 Percent of week 0 encounter volume Employees Calling in Sick Daily Average (week 1-4) Numerator: 0.75 employees calling in sick/day average (range 0-2) Denominator:
DATA	Numerator: 2.1 providers/day average, (range 1-3 providers) Denominator: 9 possible providers Average rate: 22.8% provider presence Support Staffing Level Daily Average Rate (Week 1-4): Numerator: 14.0 staff/day average, (range 11-19 staff) Denominator: 30 possible staff Average rate of 46.7% staff presence Daily Patient Encounters (week 1-4): Numerator: 28.0 patients/day average (range 13-24) Denominators: Prior 6-month daily average: of 183.3 encounters, week 0 daily Average: 92.4 encounters Volumes: 15.2% of normal encounter volume, 30.3 Percent of week 0 encounter volume Employees Calling in Sick Daily Average (week 1-4) Numerator: 0.75 employees calling in sick/day average (range 0-2) Denominator: 39 employees

PROJECT IMPACT	On analysis it is my feeling that this project achieved our intended aims. In the weeks of the intervention period we were able to maintain an average provider presence of 32% of our baseline. With careful and specific triage and schedule trimming performed by our providers while in clinic, we were able to decrease our clinic patient volumes to 30.3% of week 0 baseline levels, and 15.2% of our more typical patient volumes. Staffing levels were decreased to 60% of baseline values. Our percentage of staff calling out sick was 31.3% of the baseline value though low in both phases of the project
	date we have achieved this.
PROJECT REFLECTION	<ul> <li>Do you feel that the project was worthwhile, effective? Yes</li> <li>How might you have performed the project differently?</li> </ul>
	With improved remote access for our staff, we may have enabled them to work more effectively from home, thus decreasing the need to have them in the office to call patients and restructure the schedules based on the recommendations of the providers. This lack of home access for staff is a function of our larger corporate entity policy.
	• Please offer suggestions for other ophthalmologists undertaking a similar project.
	Working closely with your management team is critical and having a call schedule rotation in place in advance can be very helpful. The American Academy of Ophthalmology and articles recommended by the American Board of Ophthalmology were helpful resources in identifying and planning response practice interventions.
	The creation of enlarged slit lamp shield was initially performed with translucent polypropylene (file folder) rather than a truly transparent material due to rapid availability. These initial shields proved difficult to use and ultimately impractical. Special 10 mil acetate sheets were ordered from a print binding supplier which proved a much superior material as it is truly transparent.