### ABO NON-CLINICAL IMPROVEMENT IN MEDICAL PRACTICE ACTIVITY

#### Topic

| Title of Project: | Improve Patient Understanding of Glaucoma with Photos of Optic Nerves Compared to Age Matched Normals |

#### Project Description

Describe the quality gap or issue addressed by this activity. (Included in your response to this question should be a description of the resources that informed your decision to pursue this topic, a description of what the literature says about the issue you identified, and the rationale for choosing to address this clinical project.)

Patients with a new diagnosis of glaucoma will be shown their optic nerve head photos in comparison to "Normals" in order to help the patient understand their disease process and aide in compliance with medications. The goal is twofold, to establish a best practice of disc photos for future comparison as well as to help the patient better understand their own disease.

#### Background Information:

The month you pulled the baseline IRIS performance report and any additional information that may be pertinent:

Given that glaucoma is a silent disease and studies show approximately 40-50% compliance on drops the hope will be that showing patients their own disc photos compared to age matched Normals will inspire them to pay more attention to their own disease.

#### Project Setting: (Please select from options below):

- Group Practice
- Healthcare Network
- Hospital
- Multi-Specialty Group
- Solo Practice
- Surgical Center
- Other

Multi-Specialty Group

#### Study population:

(Describe the type of patient for whom the care process will be improved, e.g., all patients in your practice, patients with diabetes, patients presenting for emergency care:)

Patients with a new diagnosis of glaucoma or being followed for high risk for open angle glaucoma.
**Measure Type:** Outcome  
**Measure Name:** Patient understanding of their own level of optic nerve head cupping.  
**Numerator Statement:** Number of patients who state they understand their own level of optic nerve cupping.  
**Denominator Statement:** 25 Patients with a new diagnosis of glaucoma or being followed for high risk open angle glaucoma.
We realize that this may not be feasible or appropriate for all projects. Please indicate at least one measure below; either a process or outcome measure:

**Example Measure:**
- Measure Type: Process Measure
- Measure Name: Patient pain level during intravitreal injection
- Numerator Statement: Number of patients in who pain levels decreased by 2 points on a 1-10 scale
- Denominator Statement: 30 consecutive patients undergoing intravitreal injection.
### Project Interventions:

Quality improvement requires that you analyze your care delivery processes and identify changes, which if implemented, will improve care and outcomes. Generally, educational interventions are thought to be weak and demonstrate little impact. The introduction of tools, strategies or systematic approaches to care delivery is more powerful. A tool is a thing, for example a preoperative checklist, or written standardized process or protocol. Strategies include changes in procedures or policies like the introduction of a surgical time out before surgery is initiated. Systematic approaches to care delivery involve a comprehensive analysis of care process and the introduction of a combination of tools and strategies designed as a complete process. Please describe the changes to your care processes you intend to introduce:

All patients with glaucoma will see their own optic nerves in comparison to age matched Normals

### Project Team:

(include roles for yourself and all members of your team):

List the individuals who will be involved in your quality improvement project (i.e., solo project, partners in practice, office staff, OR personnel, anesthesiologists) and the roles they will contribute.

Solo project and office staff

Will any other ophthalmologists be requesting MOC credit for participation in this SD-PIM?

No
**Project Outcomes/Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Summary</th>
<th>In the following sections, please prepare a brief summary of the project highlighting the data collected, effectiveness of your measurement approach, interventions, and the overall impact of the project.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Baseline Data:** | Outcome of patient visualization of their own optic nerve heads  
Quantify each of the quality indicators / performance measures described above for the baseline period (before interventions for improvement were introduced). Report the numerator, denominator and the calculated percentage rate for each measure. |
| **Numerator** | 23 Patients who were newly diagnosed with glaucoma and remembered the importance of tracking nerve head cupping. |
| **Denominator** | 25 Patients were newly diagnosed with glaucoma and had baseline optic nerve head photos taken.  
92% of patients newly diagnosed with glaucoma understood that nerve head cupping was a major tracking point in their disease progression. |
| **Follow-up Data:** | 92% Success is a good rate of understanding, we will need to keep asking patients on subsequent follow up visits if they recall the major tracking points for their disease.  
Quantify each of the quality indicators / performance measures described above for the re-measurement period (the period following implementation of the interventions for improvement). |
| **Project Impact** | Patients who recalled having their nerve head photos taken were more likely to ask about the appearance of their nerve on subsequent exams. They seemed more invested than those who did not see their own nerve head photos.  
Compare the baseline data to the re-measurement / follow-up data and quantify the impact of the process of care changes (your project interventions). The project hopefully resulted in improvement; however, some projects may result in a diminution in quality. If a lack of improvement or reduction in quality occurred, suggest other strategies that might be more effective. |
| **Project Reflection** | Did you feel the project was worthwhile, effective? **YES**  
How might you have performed the project differently? **I could have shown patients their fields and asked them if they remembered where their defects were prior to showing subsequent fields.**  
Please offer suggestions for other ophthalmologists undertaking a similar project. **Make sure patients are aware of the clinical features you are tracking when discussing a chronic disease.** |